



## **Proposed NSW Great Artesian Basin Shallow Water Resource Plan**

# Planned environmental water: Assessment of no net reduction (s10.28) in the level of protection

## **Executive Summary**

Section 10.28 of the Basin Plan requires that there is no net reduction in the protection of planned environmental water (PEW) from the protection provided under state law immediately before the commencement of the Basin Plan in 2012.

New South Wales has identified that the proposed water resource plan (WRP for the NSW Great Artesian Basin Shallow Water Resource Plan area introduces changes to the state instruments and the arrangements that establish and maintain PEW.

The Murray-Darling Basin Authority (the Authority) has undertaken an assessment using multiple lines of evidence to analyse changes to the protection of PEW that would arise from the proposed WRP. The assessment found the proposed WRP will not result in a reduction in the protection of PEW in the NSW Great Artesian Basin Shallow WRP area when compared with the protection in place immediately before the commencement of the Basin Plan.

## PEW in the groundwater context

Planned environmental water is explicitly defined in s. 6 of the *Water Act 2007* (Cth) (the Act), and s. 21(5) of that Act requires the Basin Plan to:

ensure that there is no net reduction in the protection of planned environmental water from the protection provided for under the State water management law of a Basin State immediately before the Basin Plan first takes effect.

Basin Plan s. 10.28 states:

a water resource plan must ensure that there is no net reduction in the protection of planned environmental water from the protection provided under State water management law immediately before the commencement of the Basin Plan.

WRP position statement 6A – Change in PEW protection, provides the further guidance for Basin States on how a WRP can comply with Basin Plan s. 10.28:

Where there are change(s) in PEW arrangements, supporting documentation will need to demonstrate:

- a) that the level of legal protection given to PEW is at least maintained by the net effect of the WRP; and
- *b)* that the quantity and effectiveness of PEW are at least maintained by the net effect of the WRP, including in terms of the range and frequency of different flow components.

The net protection of PEW must be determined based on the characteristics of the PEW, including what environmental outcomes it provides for. This may not include other matters such as offset(s) provided by non-flow-based measures.

As set out at s 6 of the Act, PEW is water which meets the following criteria:

- 1. the water is committed by a plan made under a State water management law or any other instrument made under a law of a State, or is preserved by a law of a state or an instrument made under a law of a State; and
- 2. the water is committed or preserved for the purposes of achieving environmental outcomes or, in the case of committed water, other environmental purposes specified in the plan or instrument; and
- 3. the water cannot, to the extent to which it is committed or preserved for such purposes, be taken or used for any other purpose.

Planned environmental water can take many forms, such as flows released from storages, dam spills and inflows from tributaries or water in a river or groundwater source that is protected from extraction. The purpose of PEW is to protect or restore part of a natural flow pattern in rivers and streams, taking into account the timing, frequency and variability of flows, and also to protect the health of groundwater systems and ecosystems that have a level of dependence on groundwater.

Groundwater and surface water are connected and must be jointly managed for river health and the health of the Basin. Some rivers and river ecosystems in the Murray–Darling Basin fully or partly rely on groundwater to survive. Some communities in the Basin rely on groundwater reserves for drinking water. Groundwater is often used to maintain water supply and keep fish and aquatic animals alive in times of drought.

PEW in groundwater systems may be specified as a proportion of the estimated groundwater recharge that has been reserved for the environment, or as rules that restrict groundwater take to achieve specified environmental outcomes. For water to be recognised as PEW there needs to be some formal acknowledgement that the water is reserved for environmental purposes.

Establishment and maintenance of groundwater PEW is important for minimising the risks associated with groundwater use. However, other rules and arrangements not directly related to PEW also contribute to environmental objectives and the management of associated risks. For groundwater, such rules include prohibitions on trade when needed to manage water levels, rules relating to the construction and placement of works (including setback distances), rules that manage significant hydrological connections between surface and groundwater and triggers for temporary restrictions when needed to protect water levels. These rules generally contribute to a range of objectives within NSW water management law.

Given the integrated nature of the WRP, the combined effect of PEW rules and these other rules included for accreditation in the proposed WRP is to provide comprehensive on-ground management that seeks to minimise adverse environmental impacts on groundwater resources and groundwater dependent eco-systems. Including these rules for accreditation means that they are recognised under the Basin Plan and the Act, this helps preserve the environmental benefits provided by their implementation.

## **Assessment overview**

The Authority has undertaken an assessment of the changes to the PEW protection arrangements in accordance with the requirements set out in s. 10.28 of the Basin Plan. The assessment examines whether the protection of PEW is at least maintained compared to the level of protection in place under state water management law just prior to the commencement of the Basin Plan (ie that the WRP ensures there is no net reduction in the protection of PEW). The assessment includes a direct comparison of the protection of PEW provided under state water management law on 23 November 2012 with the protection of PEW in the proposed WRP, assisted by the criteria set out in Position Statement 6A.

This document is structured around a three-part test, described in position statement 6A, and seeks to answer the following questions:

- a) What are the changes to the level of legal protection of PEW and does the net effect of the changes in the WRP at least maintain the level of legal protection?
- b) Is the long-term average volume of PEW maintained?
- c) Is the net effect of the new rules at least as effective at meeting the original outcomes?

Multiple lines of evidence have been used to consider the net effect of changes to the protection of PEW. This includes consideration of matters such as:

- the level of environmental significance of groundwater dependent ecosystems (GDEs) that are dependent on the PEW established and protected under the baseline (protection provided under state law immediately before the commencement of the Basin Plan in 2012) and proposed PEW rules. This includes consideration of relevant threatened species/ecological communities' listings, Ramsar and Directory of Important Wetlands of Australia listings.
- the area scale of any changes where this is relevant.

The Authority has drawn on the following material to assist in the assessment:

- Water Sharing Plan for the NSW Great Artesian Basin Shallow Groundwater Sources 2011 (version for 6 July 2012 to 27 June 2019)
- Proposed NSW Great Artesian Basin Shallow Water Resource Plan (WRP) submitted to the Authority on 1 September 2022
- Proposed NSW Great Artesian Basin Shallow WRP Schedule D (Risk assessment)
- Proposed NSW Great Artesian Basin Shallow WRP Schedule A (*Water Sharing Plan for the NSW Great Artesian Basin Shallow Groundwater Sources 2020*)

## Summary of PEW rule changes

The water sharing plan (baseline WSP) that was in place for the NSW Great Artesian Basin Shallow WRP area at the time of the commencement of the Basin Plan (23 November 2012) was the:

• Water Sharing Plan for the NSW Great Artesian Basin Shallow Groundwater Sources 2011 (version for 6 July 2012 to 27 June 2019)

The proposed NSW Great Artesian Basin Shallow WRP incorporates for accreditation relevant clauses from WRP Schedule A *Water Sharing Plan for the NSW Great Artesian Basin Shallow Groundwater Sources 2020* (Schedule A).

There are changes to PEW rules and provisions detailed in s 4.1.2 of the proposed NSW Great Artesian Basin Shallow WRP; these are summarised below. Appendix A provides a comparison of the wording of baseline and equivalent WSP provisions.

## Changes to Water Management Act 2000 (NSW)

The *Water Management Act 2000* (NSW) (the WMA), was in force before the commencement of the Basin Plan and has been amended on a number of occasions between then and the date the proposed WRP was submitted to the MDBA for assessment. For the purposes of this assessment against s. 10.28 of the Basin Plan, the Authority notes that s. 8 of the WMA defines PEW and requires that NSW water sharing plans commit PEW in at least two ways and must contain provisions for the identification, establishment and maintenance of PEW. In addition, s. 8A provides that access licences held by the NSW Minister may be cancelled providing for an equivalent volume to be committed as PEW in accordance with the relevant water sharing plan. There has been a minor change to these provisions to broaden the types of water access licence (held by the NSW Minister) which may be cancelled and committed in this way.

The above amendments have been determined not to have a material impact on the protection of PEW. This assessment therefore focuses on:

- 1. changes to PEW rules and arrangements in Schedule A,
- 2. whether or not those rules and arrangements are properly incorporated into the proposed WRP
- 3. how those arrangements effect the net impact on the protection PEW.

## Summary of water sharing plan rule changes

Rule Change 1 - Provisions relating to the way PEW is committed under the baseline WSP have been changed from the 'physical presence' to the 'long-term average annual commitment' in Schedule A and Schedule A no longer includes a reference to the long-term average annual rainfall recharge.

Text for accreditation at s. 4.1.2 in the proposed WRP states:

Clauses 15 and 16 no longer commit water as PEW by reference to the 'physical presence of water'.

Clauses 14(2) and 15(2) respectively of the baseline WSP also established the physical presence of water in the NSW Great Artesian Basin Shallow Groundwater Sources WRP area as equal to a specified percentage of the long-term average annual rainfall recharge in each groundwater source. The information relating to rainfall recharge was used as the basis for determining the long-term average extraction limit at the commencement of the baseline WSP. Schedule A does not establish PEW with reference to the long-term average annual rainfall recharge but continues to commit and maintain PEW through the application of the limits on take for consumptive use.

Appendix A provides textual details, including the specified percentage of the long-term average annual rainfall recharge for the groundwater sources within the baseline WSP.

# Rule Change 2 - Provisions that describe how assessment against the long-term average annual extraction limits (LTAAELs) is calculated have changed between the baseline WSP and Schedule A and there are new provisions relating to actions following non-compliance in Schedule A.

The supporting material at s. 4.1.2 explains that the rules in Part 6 of Schedule A provide equivalent legal protection to the baseline WSP, noting that the LTAAELs have either stayed the same or have been reduced and that the volume in excess of the LTAAEL is still protected. However, there have been changes to the description of how the assessment of compliance with the LTAAEL limits is calculated. One of these changes is the assessment of compliance with LTAAELs which has changed for all of the groundwater resources from a 3-year rolling average to a 5-year rolling average.

In addition, a new clause has been included (cl. 27) to allow the NSW Minister to make further available water determinations if the NSW Minister had previously reduced available water determinations if assessment demonstrated non-compliance with limits.

Changes to cl. 23(1) is not part of the PEW rules examined as it relates to non-basin water resources and does not form part of the proposed WRP.

#### Rule change 3 – Reduction to LTAAELs

Text for accreditation at s. 4.1.2 of the proposed WRP indicates that the LTAAELs in GAB Surat Shallow, GAB Warrego Shallow and GAB Central Shallow (MDB) groundwater sources were reduced and that this increases the volume of PEW.

#### Rule change 4 – Changes to water allocation account rules

Text for accreditation at s. 4.1.2 of the proposed WRP indicates that the account rules have been rewritten to provide more clarity but that there is no change to the way the rules operate.





## Assessment

The sections below set out the assessment of the protection of PEW following the three tests in Position Statement 6A.

## **Legal Protection**

# ASSESSMENT TEST 1: What are the changes to the level of legal protection of PEW and does the net effect of the changes in the WRP at least maintain the level of legal protection?

This test assesses whether the character or wording of the rule has changed and whether this (or other relevant considerations) reduces the likelihood of the rule being applied and observed, or whether the change in rule, or location of that rule in state instruments, introduces additional discretion.

#### Rule change 1 - Changed definition of planned environmental water

Text for accreditation at s. 4.1.2 of the proposed WRP indicates that the definition of PEW in Schedule A has been changed from the definition in place on 23 November 2012. It explains that cls. 15 and 16 no longer commit PEW by reference to the physical presence of water as it did in the baseline WSP but instead maintain the physical presence through the provisions in Division 1 of Part 6 and Part 8 of Schedule A. Additionally, cls. 14(2) and 15(2) respectively of the baseline WSP protected PEW by reference to the groundwater sources and this approach no longer applies.

Compared to the baseline WSP, the removal of text referring to 'the commitment of the physical presence of water in the water source' does not reduce protection because the 'physical presence' is included in the other parts of the definition and appropriate rules are included. Therefore, there is no reduction in physical protection as a result of this change.

The baseline WSP also established the physical presence of water in the WRP area as equal to a specified percentage of the long-term average annual rainfall recharge for each groundwater source. This information was used as the basis for determining the long-term average extraction limit at the commencement of the relevant baseline WSP. Schedule A does not commit PEW in this way. This commitment has been replaced by a commitment to a fixed long-term average determined by reference to the limits to the availability of water in Part 6 of Schedule A.

The Authority notes that the WRP area is primarily made up of unconfined to semi confined aquifers that are laterally disconnected across the resource unit and do not directly receive rainfall recharge. As the NSW Great Artesian Basin Shallow WRP area covers a large area, the MDBA has analysed the rainfall recharge at three sites – Pallamallawa, Wanaaring and Quambone. There has been a 1% decrease in the rainfall in the NSW Great Artesian Basin Shallow area between 1884 to 2010 (the rainfall period for the baseline WSP) and 1884 to 2019 (the rainfall period for that would have been used for Schedule A if the same approach to the commitment of PEW had been applied). Given that rainfall recharge is generally

equal to 5-10% of the rainfall amount, the decrease in rainfall recharge is negligible. Therefore, the elimination of a reference to rainfall recharge in the establishment of PEW in the proposed WRP is not considered a reduction in the protection of PEW.

#### Rule change 2 – Changes relating to LTAAEL compliance

Additional rules have been added to Division 1 of Part 6 of Schedule A to incorporate the SDL compliance obligations under the Basin Plan. The Authority considers that this results in equivalent or improved protection of PEW by including an additional mechanism to identify any potential growth in consumptive use.

The assessment of compliance has changed for all groundwater resources in the WRP area. In some regions, the baseline WSP assessed non-compliance if the 3 year average of extraction exceeded the extraction limit, while other regions which assessed non-compliance if the 5 year average of extraction exceeded the extraction limit. Schedule A, however, assesses all groundwater sources over a 5 year average.

There are some circumstances in which the move from a 3 year rolling average to a 5 year rolling average may represent a temporary reduction in protection for the relevant water sources. However, this must be balanced against the fact that Schedule A now incorporates a compliance regime for the SDL. As such, the proposed WRP, through incorporation of relevant clauses of Schedule A, provides that the NSW Minister with responsibility for water must also undertake an assessment of compliance with the SDL in accordance with the compliance regime set out in Chapter 6 of the Basin Plan. On balance, the Authority considers that any reduction in protection would be outweighed by the improvements and in detail and accountability included in the proposed WRP.

Section 4.1.2 of the proposed WRP notes that there has also been a provision added to cl. 27 of Schedule A allowing the NSW Minister to enact available water determinations more than once within a water year if an assessment shows non-compliance with the LTAAEL. The proposed WRP states that this rule change clarifies previous practice and allows for consideration of data that would not have been available at the time of the original determination. This provides greater certainty for all water users while retaining the protection of PEW.

#### Rule change 3 – Reduction to LTAAELs

The LTAAELs for all groundwater sources in the NSW Great Artesian Basin Shallow WRP area were reduced to align with the SDLs in the Basin Plan. The LTAAELs in the baseline NSW GAB Shallow WSP for the following SDL resource units have been reduced: GAB Surat Shallow, GAB Warrego Shallow and GAB Central Shallow (MDB) groundwater sources. This change to the LTAAELs has brought the limits to within sustainable limits.

#### Rule change 4 – Changes to water allocation account rules

The expression of the water allocation account rules in Part 8 of Schedule A has changed from the way it was written in the baseline WSP. However, the change does not result in any difference in how the rules work, with the maximum available water determinations and carryover limits remaining the same.

The Authority is satisfied that the rules in Part 8 have not changed in any material way between the baseline WSP and Schedule A.

Given these matters, the Authority considers that there has been no reduction in the level of legal protection introduced by the change in state instruments.

## **Quantity of PEW**

#### ASSESSMENT TEST 2: Is the long-term average volume of PEW maintained?

This test assesses whether the quantity of PEW will be maintained over the long-term by the WRP.

#### Rule change 1 - Changed definition of planned environmental water

Text for accreditation at s. 4.1.2 of the proposed WRP states that the PEW is maintained by provisions in Division 1 of Part 6, and Part 8. The supporting text in the proposed WRP indicates that the rules in Part 6 of Schedule A provide for equivalent protection to the baseline WSP by protecting the volume in excess of the LTAAEL as PEW that cannot be used for any other purpose.

#### Rule change 2 – Changes relating to LTAEEL compliance

Additional rules have been added to Division 1 of Part 6 of Schedule A to incorporate the SDL compliance obligations under the Basin Plan. The Authority considers that this results in equivalent or improved protection of PEW by including an additional mechanism to identify any potential growth in consumptive use.

Analysis of available data on actual take from 2008-09 through 2018-19 for the NSW Great Artesian Basin Shallow Groundwater Source shows that there were nil years in the NSW Great Artesian Basin Shallow WRP area that would have been assessed as non-compliant using a 3 year rolling average or a 5year rolling average. As noted above, the proposed WRP includes provisions to ensure compliance with the Basin Plan SDL. The Authority has also assessed expected compliance against the Basin Plan longterm average Sustainable Diversion Limit (SDL) using the available data on actual take as indicated above and, using this hypothetical situation found this data would have identified non-compliance effectively regardless of the application of the 3 or 5 year rolling average.

Section 4.1.2 of the proposed WRP notes that there has also been a provision added to cl. 27 of Schedule A allowing the NSW Minister to enact available water determinations more than once within a water year if an assessment shows non-compliance with the LTAAEL. The proposed WRP states that the baseline WSP were silent on this matter and that this rule change clarifies operational practice and is therefore not expected to change the level of protection of PEW. Confirming the use of additional available water determinations provides flexibility to consider new data and helps to ensure that the LTAAEL is not exceeded and as such, the Authority is satisfied that this rule will not decrease the quantity of PEW in the WRP area.

#### Rule change 3 – Reduction to LTAAELs

Section 4.1.2 of the proposed WRP notes that there has been a reduction to the LTAAEL for some SDL resource units that results in an increase in PEW. The reductions in LTAAEL are in the GAB Surat Shallow, GAB Warrego Shallow and GAB Central Shallow (MDB) groundwater sources which were in the baseline

NSW Great Artesian Basin Shallow Groundwater Sources WSP. These LTAAELs were all reduced to align with the SDL. Although it is noted that the level of extraction has not reduced as a result of the changes to the LTAAEL and the limits were well above the extraction level, as such, the change to PEW volume is negligible.

#### Rule change 4 – Changes to water allocation account rules

Section 4.1.2 of the proposed WRP notes that the way the water allocation account rules in Part 8 are expressed has changed, however, the calculation of allocations has not changed. Therefore, this will not affect the quantity of PEW.

The Authority is satisfied that the rules in Part 8 have not changed in any material way between the baseline WSP and Schedule A.

Given these matters, the Authority considers that the long-term average volume of PEW should at least be maintained.

## **Effectiveness of PEW**

#### ASSESSMENT TEST 3: Is the new rule as effective at meeting the original outcome?

This test assesses that the effectiveness of PEW is at least maintained by the net effect of the proposed WRP.

As noted in Assessment Tests 1 and 2, changes to the rules which establish and maintain PEW at least maintain the legal protection of PEW and the quantity of PEW. None of the changes are considered to impact the effectiveness of PEW.

Overall, the rules and provisions providing for PEW remain largely unchanged from those that were in place under state water management arrangements on 23 November 2012, other than the rule changes identified.

#### Conclusion

The Authority has undertaken an assessment of the change in arrangements for PEW protection, quantities and effectiveness in the NSW Great Artesian Basin Shallow WRP area, supported by information provided by New South Wales on the operation of these rules.

On the basis of the Authority's assessment and the material provided by NSW, the Authority has determined that the proposed WRP ensures that there is no net reduction in the protection of PEW.

## **Appendix A**

#### Rule Change #1: Change to definition of PEW

Table 1. Provisions relating to the way planned environmental water is committed under the baseline WSP have been changed from the 'physical presence' to the 'long-term commitment' in Schedule A

Baseline Text	Baseline text	Proposed WRP	Proposed WRP Text
Reference		Text Reference	
Water Sharing	14 Commitment and identification of planned	Water Sharing Plan	15 Commitment and identification of planned
Plan for the NSW	environmental water	for the NSW Great	environmental water
Great Artesian	(1) Planned environmental water is committed and	Artesian Basin	Water is committed and identified as planned
Basin Shallow	identified in these groundwater sources as set out	Shallow	environmental water by reference to the following:
Groundwater	in this clause.	Groundwater	(a) the long-term average annual commitment of water
Sources 2011, cl	(2) Water is committed and identified as planned	<i>Sources 2020,</i> cl 15	as planned environmental water,
14-15	environmental water in these groundwater sources in	and 16	(b) the water that is not committed after the
	the following ways:		commitments to basic landholder rights and for
	(a) by reference to the commitment of the physical		sharing and extraction under any other rights have
	presence of water in these groundwater sources,		been met.
	(b) by reference to the long-term average annual		16 Establishment and maintenance of planned
	commitment of water as planned environmental		environmental water
	water, and		(1) Planned environmental water is established in each of
	(c) by reference to the water that is not committed		the groundwater sources as follows:
	after the commitments to basic landholder rights		(a) the long-term average annual commitment of
	and for sharing and extraction under any other		water as planned environmental water, resulting
	rights have been met.		from compliance with the long-term extraction
	15 Establishment and maintenance of planned		limits in accordance with the provisions
	environmental water		specified in Part 6, Note. Groundwater sources
			generally store large volumes of water that may have
			accumulated over thousands of years. This stored
			water is also replenished from time to time by

Baseline Text Reference	Baseline text	Proposed WRP Text Reference	Proposed WRP Text
	<ul> <li>(1) Planned environmental water is established and maintained in these groundwater sources as set out in this clause.</li> <li>(2) Planned environmental water in these groundwater sources is established as follows: <ul> <li>(a) the physical presence of water:</li> <li>i. in the GAB Central Shallow Groundwater Source, that is equal to 40% of the long-term average annual rainfall recharge in areas that are not high environmental value areas, 100% of the long-term average annual rainfall recharge in high environmental value areas at the commencement of this Plan Note. At the commencement of this Plan the long-term average annual rainfall recharge in thigh environmental value areas and 17,187 ML/year in those areas that are not high environmental value areas and 17,187 ML/year in high environmental value areas at the commencement of this Plan, Note. At the commencement of this Plan, Note. At the commencement of this Plan the long-term average</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		<ul> <li>rainfall, river and flood flows, and through flow from other groundwater sources. The provisions in Part 6 ensure that there will be water remaining in the groundwater sources over the long term by maintaining compliance with the long-term extraction limits. The long-term extraction of the water in the groundwater sources. The remaining water is planned environmental water.</li> <li>(b) the water remaining after water has been taken under basic landholder rights, access licences and any other rights under the Act, and the water that cannot be carried over from one water year to the next in accordance with the provisions specified in Part 8 limit the amount of water allocation in a water allocation account for an access licence that can be taken from the groundwater sources in any one water year and, if permitted by Part 8, that can be carried over from one water referred to in subclause (1) (a), subclause (1) (b) commits any unused water allocations that cannot be carried over from one water referred to in subclause (1) (a), subclause (1) (b) commits any unused water.</li> <li>(2) The planned environmental water.</li> <li>(2) The planned environmental water.</li> <li>(2) The planned environmental water established under subclause (1) is maintained by the provisions in Part 6 and Part 8. Note. The rules in Part 9 also provide mechanisms to ensure that no more than minimal harm will be done to high priority groundwater-dependent ecosystems, groundwater quality and groundwater levels and pressures at a local scale as a result of the granting or amendment of a water supply work approval.</li> </ul>

ReferenceText Referenceterm average annual rainfall recharge for the GAB Surat Shallow Groundwater Source is estimated to be 573,338 ML/year in those areas that are not high environmental value areas and 10,671 ML/year in high environmental value areas.Image: Comparison of the	Baseline Text	Baseline text	Proposed WRP	Proposed WRP Text
term average annual rainfall recharge for the GAB Surat Shallow Groundwater Source is estimated to be 573,338 ML/year in those areas that are not high environmental value areas and 10,671 ML/year in high environmental value areas.         iii.       in the GAB Warrego Shallow Groundwater Source, that is equal to 40% of the longterm average annual rainfall recharge in areas that are not high environmental value areas,	Reference			
100% of the long-term average         annual rainfall recharge in high         environmental value areas at the         commencement of this Plan, and         Note. At the commencement of this Plan         the long-term average annual rainfall         recharge for the GAB Warrego Shallow         Groundwater Source is estimated to be         192,850 ML/year in those areas that are         not high environmental value areas and         8,017 ML/year in high environmental         value areas.         iv.         that is within the groundwater         sources over the long term, Notes. 1         Groundwater seg enally store         large volumes of water, often         accumulated over thousands or even tens         of thousands of years. The amount of         annual recharge is often very small         compared to this stored volume. This		term average annual rainfall recharge for the GAB Surat Shallow Groundwater Source is estimated to be 573,338 ML/year in those areas that are not high environmental value areas and 10,671 ML/year in high environmental value areas.         iii. in the GAB Warrego Shallow Groundwater Source, that is equal to 40% of the longterm average annual rainfall recharge in areas that are not high environmental value areas, 100% of the long-term average annual rainfall recharge in high environmental value areas at the commencement of this Plan, and Note. At the commencement of this Plan the long-term average annual rainfall recharge for the GAB Warrego Shallow Groundwater Source is estimated to be 192,850 ML/year in those areas that are not high environmental value areas and 8,017 ML/year in high environmental value areas.         iv. that is within the groundwater storage of these groundwater storage of these groundwater storage of these groundwater storage of these grearly store large volumes of water, often accumulated over thousands or even tens of thousands of years. The amount of annual recharge is often very small		

Baseline Text	Baseline text	Proposed WRP	Proposed WRP Text
Reference		Text Reference	
	component of these groundwater sources over the long-term. This means that, over the long term, these groundwater sources will not be depleted as a result of extraction. 2 The recharge estimates for these groundwater sources are based on rainfall infiltration. 3 The portion of recharge reserved for the environment is not the same for every groundwater source, having regard to the different levels of socio-economic reliance and environmental values of each groundwater source.	Proposed WRP Text Reference	Proposed WRP Text
	(b) the long-term average annual commitment		
	of water as planned environmental water in: i. the GAB Central Shallow		
	Groundwater Source which is equal to 40% of the long-term average		
	annual rainfall recharge in areas that are not high environmental value		
	areas, 100% of the long-term average annual rainfall recharge in high environmental value areas at the commencement of this Plan,		
	ii. the GAB Surat Shallow Groundwater Source which is equal to 75% of the long-term average annual rainfall		
	recharge in areas that are not high environmental value areas, 100% of		
	the long-term average annual rainfall recharge in high environmental value areas at the commencement of this		
	Plan,		

Baseline Text	Baseline text	Proposed WRP	Proposed WRP Text
Reference		Text Reference	
	iii. the GAB Warrego Shallow		
	Groundwater Source which is equal		
	to 40% of the long-term average		
	annual rainfall recharge in areas that		
	are not high environmental value		
	areas, 100% of the long-term average		
	annual rainfall recharge in high		
	environmental value areas at the		
	commencement of this Plan,		
	iv. these groundwater sources, which is		
	equal to the volume of water within		
	the groundwater storage over the		
	long term, and		
	(c) The water remaining in these groundwater		
	sources after water has been taken pursuant		
	to basic landholder rights and access licences		
	in accordance with the rules specified in Part		
	6 and Part 8 of this Plan.		
	(3) The planned environmental water established under		
	subclause (2) (a) is maintained in these groundwater		
	sources by the rules specified in Part 6 and Part 8 of		
	this Plan.		
	(4) The planned environmental water established under		
	subclause (2) (b) is maintained in these groundwater		
	sources by the rules specified in Part 6 of this Plan		
	which limit the water available for extraction under		
	access licences.		
	(5) The planned environmental water established under $(2)$ (2) (2)		
	subclause (2) (c) is maintained in these groundwater		
	sources by the rules specified in Part 6 and Part 8 of		
	this Plan. <b>Note.</b> The rules in Part 6 ensure that there will		
	be water remaining in these water sources over the long		

Baseline Text Reference	Baseline text	Proposed WRP Text Reference	Proposed WRP Text
	term by maintaining compliance with the long-term average annual extraction limit. The rules in Part 6 provide for a reduction in available water determinations when the long-term average annual extraction limits have been assessed to have been exceeded.		

### Rule Changes 2 and 3: Limits to the availability of water and reduction to LTAAELs

Table 2. Provisions that set out the long-term average annual extraction limits and describes how assessment against the long-term average annual extraction limits is calculated

Baseline Text Reference	Baseline text	Proposed WRP Text Reference	Proposed WRP Text
Water Sharing Plan for the NSW Great Artesian Basin Shallow Groundwater Sources 2011, Part 6 Division 1	<ul> <li>22 General The availability of water for extraction in these groundwater sources on a long-term basis is to be managed in accordance with this Part.</li> <li>23 Volume of the long-term average annual extraction limits <ol> <li>This clause establishes long-term average annual extraction limits for these groundwater sources.</li> <li>Subject to any variation under subclause (3), the long-term average annual extraction limit for each groundwater source specified in clause 4 (1) is as follows: <ol> <li>145,552 ML/year for the GAB Central Shallow Groundwater Source,</li> <li>143,335 ML/year for the GAB Surat Shallow Groundwater Source, and</li> </ol> </li> </ol></li></ul>	Water Sharing Plan for the NSW Great Artesian Basin Shallow Groundwater Sources 2020, Part 6 Division 1	<ul> <li>23 Long-term average annual extraction limits</li> <li>(2) The long-term average annual extraction limit for the GAB Central Shallow (MDB) Groundwater Source is 8,830 ML/year. Note. The long-term average annual extraction limit for the groundwater source specified in subclause (2) equates to the long-term average sustainable diversion limit for the NSW GAB Central Shallow (MDB) (GS36) groundwater SDL resource units specified in Schedule 4 to the Basin Plan.</li> <li>(3) The long-term average annual extraction limit for the GAB Surat Shallow Groundwater Source is 15,500 ML/year. Note. The long-term average annual extraction limit for the NSW GAB Central Shallow (2) equates to the long-term average annual extraction limit for the GAB Surat Shallow Groundwater Source is 15,500 ML/year. Note. The long-term average annual extraction limit for the GAB Surat Shallow (GS34) groundwater SDL resource units specified in Schedule 4 to the Basin Plan.</li> <li>(4) The long-term average annual extraction limit for the GAB Warrego Shallow Groundwater Source is 33,400 ML/year. Note. The long-term average annual extraction limit for the GAB Warrego Shallow Groundwater Source is 33,400 ML/year. Note. The long-term average annual extraction limit for the GAB Warrego Shallow Groundwater Source is 33,400 ML/year. Note. The long-term average annual extraction limit for the GAB Warrego Shallow Groundwater Source is 33,400 ML/year. Note. The long-term average annual extraction limit for the GAB Warrego Shallow Groundwater Source is 33,400 ML/year. Note. The long-term average annual extraction limit for the GAB Warrego Shallow Groundwater Source is 33,400 ML/year. Note. The long-term average annual extraction limit</li> </ul>

Baseline Text Reference	Baseline text	Proposed WRP Text Reference	Proposed WRP Text
	<ul> <li>(c) 115,710 ML/year for the GAB Warrego Shallow Groundwater Source</li> <li>(3) Following the surrender and cancellation of an access licence in these groundwater sources under section 77 and 77A of the Act, the Minister may vary the respective long-term average annual extraction limit.</li> <li>Notes. 1 The long-term average annual extraction limit for each groundwater source is equal to the long-term average annual recharge minus the amount of recharge reserved as planned environmental water under clause 15 (2). 2 Part 12 allows for amendments to be made to clause 23. 3 Under section 8F of the Act, the long-term extraction limit is taken to be varied by the amount of any change to the amount of water committed as licensed environmental water. Water committed as licensed environmental water is not to be accounted for as extraction. The variation in the long-term extraction limit is to be determined in accordance with a methodology approved by the Minister and published in the Gazette.</li> <li>24 Calculation of current levels of annual extraction (1) After each water year, the total volume of water extracted during that water year under access licences and pursuant to domestic and stock rights and native title rights must be calculated for each groundwater source specified in clause 4 (1).</li> <li>(2) For the purpose of calculating the total volume of water extracted during a water year, the following must be taken into account:</li> <li>(a) all water taken by holders of all categories of access licence in the respective groundwater source, and</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>for the groundwater source specified in subclause (2) equates to the long-term average sustainable diversion limit for the NSW GAB Warrego Shallow (GS35) groundwater SDL resource units specified in Schedule 4 to the Basin Plan.</li> <li>24 Calculation of annual extraction The Minister is to determine the volume of water taken during a water year for each of the groundwater sources under the following entitlements (the annual extraction): <ul> <li>(a) all categories of access licences,</li> <li>(b) basic landholder rights.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Note. The volume of water taken in any water year under basic landholder rights is assumed to be the volumes specified in Part 5.</li> <li>25 Assessment of compliance with long-term average annual extraction limits</li> <li>(1) The Minister is to undertake an assessment under this clause comparing the long-term average annual extraction limit for each of the groundwater sources against the average of annual extraction for the preceding five water years for the respective groundwater source.</li> <li>(2) There is non-compliance with a long-term average annual extraction limit if the average of annual extraction limit for a groundwater source in the preceding five water years exceeds the long-term average annual extraction limit for that groundwater source by 5% or more</li> </ul>
			average sustainable diversion limits

Baseline Text Reference	Baseline text	Proposed WRP Text Reference	Proposed WRP Text
	<ul> <li>(b) all water taken pursuant to domestic and stock rights and native title rights in the groundwater source.</li> <li>25 Assessment of average annual extraction against the long term average annual extraction limits         <ol> <li>(1) An assessment of average annual extractions against the long term average annual extraction limit is to be conducted for each groundwater source as set out in this clause.</li> <li>(2) Commencing in the fourth water year in which this Plan has effect, the assessments referred to in subclause (1) must compare the long-term average annual extraction limits established in clause 23 (2) for the respective groundwater source against the annual extraction averaged over the preceding three water years.</li> </ol> </li> <li>26 Compliance with the long-term average annual</li> </ul>	-	<ul> <li>The Minister is to undertake an assessment of compliance with the Basin Plan long-term average sustainable diversion limit for each groundwater SDL resource unit in accordance with the processes set out in Divisions 1 and 3 of Part 4 of Chapter 6 of the Basin Plan.</li> <li><i>Notes.</i> <ol> <li>Groundwater SDL resource unit is defined in the Dictionary. The notes to clause 23 outline the relationship between the groundwater SDL resource units and groundwater sources to which this Plan applies.</li> <li>Long-term average sustainable diversion limit is defined in the Dictionary.</li> <li>This clause does not apply to the GAB Central Shallow (North Western) Groundwater Source, as it is not within the MDB to which the Basin Plan applies.</li> </ol> </li> <li>27 Compliance with limits <ol> <li>If an assessment for a groundwater source under either clause 25 or clause 26 demonstrates non-compliance with the long-term average annual extraction limit or</li> </ol> </li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>extraction limits <ul> <li>(1) Compliance with the long-term average annual extraction limits established for each groundwater source is to be managed in accordance with this clause.</li> <li>(2) Commencing in the fourth water year in which this Plan has effect, if, in the Minister's opinion, the assessment under clause 25 demonstrates that annual extractions in the respective groundwater source averaged over the preceding three water years have exceeded the long-term average annual extraction limit for that groundwater source by 5% or more, then the available water determination for</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		<ul> <li>the long-term average sustainable diversion limit, the Minister is to take, in relation to that groundwater source or groundwater SDL resource unit, any one or more of the following actions: <ul> <li>(a) reduce the maximum water account debit for aquifer access licences under clause 33, Note. Water account debit has the meaning set out in clause 33.</li> <li>(b) make an available water determination for aquifer access licences in accordance with clause 31 of less than 1 megalitre (ML) per unit share of access licence share component.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

Baseline Text Reference	Baseline text	Proposed WRP Text Reference	Proposed WRP Text
	<ul> <li>aquifer access licences in that groundwater source is to be reduced in the following water year in accordance with subclause (3).</li> <li>(3) The reduction under subclause (2) is to be of an amount that is, in the Minister's opinion, necessary to return average annual extractions in the respective groundwater source to the longterm average annual extraction limit established in this Part</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>(2) The Minister may take one or more of the actions under subclauses (1) (a) and (1) (b) if an assessment under clause 26 would have demonstrated non-compliance with the long-term average sustainable diversion limit but for there being a reasonable excuse, as provided for in Division 3 of Part 4 of Chapter 6 of the Basin Plan.</li> <li>(3) Any action under subclauses (1) or (2) is to be taken to the extent the Minister considers the following is necessary: <ul> <li>(a) in the case of non-compliance with the long-term average annual extraction limit to return average annual extractions in the relevant groundwater source to the long-term average annual extraction limit to meet the requirements of Division 3 of Part 4 of Chapter 6 of the Basin Plan,</li> <li>(b) in the case of non-compliance with the long-term average sustainable diversion limit to meet the requirements of Division 3 of Part 4 of Chapter 6 of the Basin Plan,</li> <li>(c) in the case where non-compliance with the long-term average sustainable diversion limit would have occurred but for there being a reasonable excuse to meet the requirements of Division 3 of Part 4 of Chapter 6 of the Basin Plan</li> </ul> </li> <li>(4) If the Minister reduces a maximum water account debit under subclauses (1) (a) or (2), the Minister may increase the maximum water account debit later in the water year, up to the following limits: <ul> <li>(a) for aquifer access licences in the GAB Surat Shallow Groundwater Source:         <ul> <li>i. 1.25 ML per unit share of the access licence share component,</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>

Reference     Text Reference	
	<ul> <li>ii. plus any water allocations assigned to the water allocation account for the aquifer access licence under section 71T of the Act in that water year,</li> <li>iii. plus any water allocations re-credited to the water allocation account for the aquifer access licence in accordance with section 76 of the Act in that water year.</li> <li>(b) for aquifer access licences in the GAB Central Shallow (North Western) Groundwater Source, the GAB Central Shallow (MDB) Groundwater Source or the GAB Warrego Shallow Groundwater Source: <ul> <li>i. 1.1 ML per unit share of the access licence share component,</li> <li>ii. plus any water allocations assigned to the water allocation account for the aquifer access licence under section 71T of the Act in that water year,</li> <li>iii. plus any water allocations assigned to the water allocation account for the aquifer access licence under section 71T of the Act in that water year,</li> <li>iii. plus any water allocations re-credited to the water allocation account for the aquifer access licence in accordance with section 76 of the Act in that water year,</li> </ul> </li> <li>(5) If the Minister makes a reduced available water determination pursuant to subclauses (1) (b) or (2), the Minister may make further available water</li> </ul>

### Rule Change 4: Allocation account rules

Table 3: The water account allocation rules have changed between the baseline WSP and WSP

Baseline Text Reference	Baseline text	Proposed WRP Text Reference	Proposed WRP Text
Water Sharing Plan for the NSW Great Artesian Basin Shallow Groundwater Sources 2011, Part 8	<ul> <li>Note. Part 12 allows for amendments to be made to Part 8.</li> <li>55 General The rules in this Part apply to the taking of water under an access licence with a share component that specifies one of these groundwater sources. Note. The Act provides for the keeping of water allocation accounts. The rules in this Part impose further restrictions on the volume of water that may be taken under an access licence over a specified period of time. These restrictions are in addition to any other limits for the taking of water contained in this Plan. For further clarification, these rules do not authorise the taking of more water than is credited to the respective water allocation accounts for the access licence at the time water is taken. It is an offence under the Act to take water otherwise than in accordance with the water allocation for the access licence. </li> <li>10 In any water year, water taken under a domestic and stock, local water utility or aquifer access licence with a share component that specifies one of these groundwater sources must not exceed a volume equal to:     <ul> <li>(a) the sum of water allocations accrued to the water allocation account for the access licence from available water determinations in that water year, plus</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Water Sharing Plan for the NSW Great Artesian Basin Shallow Groundwater Sources 2020, Part 8	<ul> <li>Notes.</li> <li>Section 85 of the Act provides for the keeping of water allocation accounts for access licences. The provisions in this Part restrict the water that may be taken under, or assigned from, an access licence over a specified period of time, and the unused water allocations in water allocation accounts that may be carried over from one water year to the next. These restrictions are in addition to any other limits on access licences for the taking or assignment of water. It is an offence under section 60C of the Act to take water under an access licence for which there is no or insufficient water allocation.</li> <li>The provisions in this Part apply to the following persons: (a) the Minister in managing water allocation accounts, (b) the access licence holder, as required by mandatory conditions imposed on the access licence under Part 11.</li> <li>Water allocation account debiting</li> <li>(1) A water account debit means any water allocation that is taken, assigned under section 71T of the Act, or otherwise debited or withdrawn from a water allocation account.</li> <li>(2) For domestic and stock access licences and local water utility access licences, the maximum water account debit in a water year must not exceed the following: (a) the sum of water allocations credited to the water allocation account for the access licence from available water determinations in that water year,</li> </ul>

Baseline Text	Baseline text	Proposed WRP	Proposed WRP Text
Reference		Text Reference	
	(b) the water allocations carried over from the		(b) plus any water allocations assigned to the water
	water year prior to that water year under		allocation account for the access licence under
	subclauses (2) or (3), plus		section 71T of the Act in that water year,
	(c) the net amount of any water allocations		(c) plus any water allocations re-credited to the
	assigned to or from the water allocation		water allocation account for the access licence
	account for the access licence under section		in accordance with section 76 of the Act in that
	71T of the Act in that water year, plus		water year.
	(d) any water allocations re-credited to the		(3) For aquifer access licences in the GAB Central Shallow
	water allocation account for the access		(North Western) Groundwater Source, the GAB Central
	licence in accordance with section 76 of the		Shallow (MDB) Groundwater Source and the GAB
	Act in that water year.		Warrego Shallow Groundwater Source, the maximum
	(2) The maximum water allocation that can be carried		water account debit in a water year must not exceed the
	over in a water allocation account for a domestic and		following:
	stock, local water utility or aquifer access licence in		(a) 1.1 ML per unit share of the access licence share
	the GAB Surat Shallow Groundwater Source from one		component or, if applicable, the lower amount
	water year to the next is equal to:		made in accordance with clause 27,
	(a) 0% of the access licence share component,		(b) plus any water allocations assigned to the water
	for access licences with share components		allocation account for the aquifer access licence
	expressed as ML per year, or		under section 71T of the Act in that water year,
	(b) 0.25ML per unit share of the access licence		(c) plus any water allocations re-credited to the
	share component for access licences with		water allocation account for the aquifer access
	share components expressed as a number of		licence in accordance with section 76 of the Act
	unit shares.		in that water year.
	(3) The maximum water allocation that can be carried		(4) For aquifer access licences in the GAB Surat Shallow
	over in a water allocation account for a domestic and		Groundwater Source, the maximum water account debit
	stock, local water utility or aquifer access licence in		in a water year must not exceed the following:
	the GAB Central Shallow Groundwater Source and		(a) 1.25 ML per unit share of the access licence
	GAB Warrego Shallow Groundwater Source from one		share component or, if applicable, the lower
	water year to the next is equal to:		amount made in accordance with clause 27,
	(a) 0% of the access licence share component for		(b) plus any water allocations assigned to the water
	access licences with share components		allocation account for the aquifer access licence
	expressed as ML per year, or		under section 71T of the Act in that water year,

Baseline Text Baseline text	Proposed WRP Text Reference	Proposed WRP Text
Reference		
Reference       (b) 0.1ML per unit share of the access licence share component for access licences with share components expressed as a number of unit shares	Text Reference         Image: state sta	<ul> <li>(c) plus any water allocations re-credited to the water allocation account for the aquifer access licence in accordance with section 76 of the Act in that water year.</li> <li>34 Limits on carryover         <ol> <li>(1) For a domestic and stock access licence or a local water utility access licence, water allocations remaining in the water allocation account cannot be carried over from one water year to the next water year.</li> <li>(2) For an aquifer access licence in the GAB Central Shallow (North Western) Groundwater Source, the GAB Central Shallow (MDB) Groundwater Source or the GAB Warrego Shallow Groundwater Source, water allocations remaining in the water allocation account are to be carried over from one water year to the next water year, up to a maximum of 0.1 ML per unit of access licence share component.</li> <li>(3) For an aquifer access licence in the GAB Surat Shallow Groundwater Source, water allocations remaining in the water allocation account are to be carried over from one water year to the next water year, up to a maximum of 0.1 ML per unit of access licence share component.</li> <li>(3) For an aquifer access licence in the GAB Surat Shallow Groundwater Source, water allocations remaining in the water alloc</li></ol></li></ul>