No	Plan Name	Consideration process	Accreditation day	Effect of amendment or replacement	Status				
New	New South Wales								
1	Water Sharing Plan for the Peel Valley Regulated, Unregulated, Alluvium and Fractured Rock Water Sources 2010	Extension of interim plan	26 September 2014	Some of the amendments to this plan do not relate to matters of Basin Plan consistency, namely the correction of typographical errors. Changes to the definition of the worst period of low inflows when making available water determinations do not directly influence Basin Plan consistency, and provides more certainty for water users for the life of the plan, although it may limit the plan's ability to accommodate extreme events.	No less consistent				
				Overall, the amendments to the trade rules in this plan increase opportunities for trade, which is no less consistent with the Basin Plan. Allocation trade was previously not permitted from the Peel to the Namoi and the amendment further opens up trade between the two valleys. In addition, the amendments are consistent with the Basin water market and trading objectives set out in Schedule 3 of the Water Act 2007.					
				Amendments made to the calculation of the annual extraction limit, levels of annual extraction, and access licence account management rules arise due to the inclusion of trade from the Peel to the Namoi. They ensure there are accounting links between water resources involved in trades outside of the plan area, and introduce consistent account management rules for different kinds of access licences. These amendments are no less consistent with the Basin Plan.					
				Amendments to rules for works in the Peel Alluvium Water Source (s 69) introduce more objective, measureable triggers for the commencement of cease to pump periods for groundwater users. This is a kind of rule that could be used to meet the requirements in s 10.19 of the Basin Plan which deals with rules to protect environmental watering requirements in connected groundwater-surface water resources. The amendment results in a clearer resource condition limit to trigger the implementation of the cease to pump rule, which may make the rule more effective. Therefore the amendment is no less consistent with the Basin Plan.					
				The amendment to ss 69 (a) and (b) also delays the full application of the cease to pump rules to all works in parts of the Peel Alluvium Water Source. Delaying the application of the rule to all access licence holders in the relevant water sources is in effect an extension of the existing rules that apply to the water users in that source. While the amendment does not make the plan					

No	Plan Name	Consideration process	Accreditation day	Effect of amendment or replacement	Status
				more inconsistent with the Basin Plan, timely implementation of the cease to pump rules to all licence holders is encouraged.	
				The inclusion of ss 94(c) allows the rules in s 69 to be amended if a review of the access rules finds that these are having a significant socioeconomic impact on water users. Any amendments must take into account any environmental impacts of the proposed change. This review is also reflected in ss 69(b). This amendment imposes an additional barrier to the implementation of the rules. The ability to review the rules does not by itself introduce inconsistency, rather the issue arises if the review of the rules results in a change to the rules that ultimately reduces the protection of planned environmental water (s 10.28 of the Basin Plan). Therefore the need to undertake a review, or the ability to review and change rules, do not by itself make the plan less consistent with the Basin Plan.	
				the Basin Plan.	
2	Water sharing plan for the Lower Lachlan Groundwater Source 2003	Recognition as a transitional plan – timing of regulation TBC	TBC. The amendments considered are those made between the versions of the plan in force at 1 February 2008 and 1 January 2014	Some of the amendments do not relate to matters of Basin Plan consistency, specifically those that reflect changes in related legislation. Amendments to the annual accounting rules have reduced the volume that can be carried over in a water allocation account. The way water allocations assigned to or from another user are accounted for has changed, but not in a way that discriminates against water that has been traded (Basin Plan s 12.12), therefore the changes are no less consistent with the Basin Plan. Changes to the water trading rules in s45 of the plan prohibit a supplementary water access licence from being traded. Since the Basin Plan water trading rules do not apply to water access rights of a kind that are not able to be traded under State water management law (ss 12.02(1)), this amendment is no less consistent with the Basin Plan.	No less consistent
				The amendments have the overall effect of being no less consistent with the Basin Plan.	